

**ENTERED**

June 21, 2022

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

JOSE LUIS ACUNA-GARZA,

Petitioner,

VS.

WARDEN COX ET AL,

Respondent.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:21-CV-00284

**ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION**

On May 10, 2022, United States Magistrate Judge Julie K. Hampton issued her “Memorandum and Recommendation” (M&R, D.E. 15). The parties were provided proper notice of, and opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge’s M&R.<sup>1</sup> Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); General Order No. 2002-13. No objections have been timely filed.

When no timely objection to a magistrate judge’s M&R is filed, the district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record and accept the magistrate judge’s M&R. *Guillory v. PPG Industries, Inc.*, 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing *Douglass v. United Services Auto Ass’n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996)).


Having reviewed the findings of fact and conclusions of law set forth in the Magistrate Judge’s M&R (D.E. 15), and all other relevant documents in the record, and

---

<sup>1</sup> Notice to Petitioner was returned to the Court because the last address he provided to the Court was his place of incarceration. As noted in the M&R, he has been released from incarceration. He did not provide the Court with a change of address.

finding no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** as its own the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, the Motion to Dismiss (D.E. 14) is **GRANTED** and this action is **DISMISSED** as moot.

ORDERED on June 21, 2022.

  
NELVA GONZALES RAMOS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE